

- 216 A.N. Whitehead, *PROCESS AND REALITY*, p 16
- 217 S&S p 498
- 218 S&S pp 273, 45f, 61f
- 219 G.T.W. Patrick, *INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY*, Ch VI
- 220 See S&S pp 407, 521; see also our discussion on 'matter' (III:C:3, above) and on the 'body-mind' problem (IV:C, below)
- 221 B. Russell, *AN OUTLINE OF PHILOSOPHY*, p 209
- 222 Cf, e.g., E.S. Brightman, op cit, Chs VIII, IX; C.T.W. Patrick, op cit, Ch XII; F. Paulsen, op cit Ch II; W.K. Wright, *A STUDENT'S PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION*, Ch XVIII
- 223 S&S p 521
- 224 Mr. John R. Shuman has suggested to the present writer that the notion of 'growth' (from one stage to another) in evolution also represents an example of the mechanism of projection, as no empirical evidence has ever demonstrated any such 'growth.' If this observation becomes justified, then all arguments of teleologists based on evolution are automatically exploded.
- 225 S&S pp 80f
- 226 B. Russell, *WHAT I BELIEVE* pp 22ff
- 227 Oswald Spengler, op cit, vol I, p 120, (caps with broken underlining mine)
- 228 C.J. Keyser, *MATHEMATICAL PHILOSOPHY*, qu by Korzybski and Kendig, op cit, p ix
- 229 S&S p 453
- 230 W.K. Wright, op cit, p 310
- 231 S&S p 453
- 232 S&S p 453f
- 233 G.T.W. Patrick, op cit, p 293; see Ch XX for a summary of the different solutions of the problem already presented.
- 234 loc cit Patrick here uses an expression by Emil du Bois-Reymond
- 235 S&S p 380; see above II:9:a, 10, 11; also III:C:1
- 236 C.J. Herrick, op cit, p 101
- 237 S&S pp 89, 172, 224, 412, 606
- 238 S&S Ch IX; 'Colloidal science is young and little known. . . . Statements, therefore, should not be unduly generalized.' p 111
- 239 S&S p 508
- 240 S&S p 114; cf Wolfgang Pauli, in *COLLOID CHEMISTRY*, ed by J. Alexander, qu in S&S p 111
- 241 S&S p 119
- 242 S&S p 512
- 243 See, e.g., G.T.W. Patrick, op cit, Ch XXI; also W.K. Wright, op cit, Ch XXI
- 244 S&S p 221
- 245 S&S p 212
- 246 S&S p 221
- 247 S&S p 221
- 248 S&S p 551
- 249 S&S pp 222, 550
- 250 S&S p 551
- 251 H.G. Wells, *THE CONQUEST OF TIME*, p 84 (caps mine)
- 252 See Ch III:D:3, above
- 253 See Herbert Feigl, 'The Significance of Physics in Man's Philosophy,' *THE AMERICAN PHYSICS TEACHER*, vol 7 (October 1939), p 325
- 254 S&S p 550
- 255 Of course we cannot understand what Korzybski maintains here if we keep using the prevailing two-valued 'logic.'
- 256 S&S p 550
- 257 See, e.g., W.K. Wright, op cit, pp 413ff
- 258 S&S p 552; see qu by C.M. Child, II:C:9:a above; see also E.L. Thorndike, *SCIENCE AND VALUES*, pp 10f
- 259 S&S p 552
- 260 S&S pp 222, 550
- 261 See G.T.W. Patrick, op cit Chs XXII-XXV
- 262 ibid p 333
- 263 However, see Ch III:E, p 29 above
- 264 G.S. Fullerton, *AN INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY*, p 208
- 265 John Locke, *ESSAY CONCERNING HUMAN UNDERSTANDING*, Book II, Ch I, sec 2, qu by Fullerton op cit, p 210
- 266 G.T.W. Patrick, op cit, p 335
- 267 A. Korzybski, 'The Brotherhood of Doctrines,' qu in S&S p 99
- 268 Sir A. Eddington, *THE PHILOSOPHY OF PHYSICAL SCIENCE*, p 195
- 269 A.K. Rogers, *A STUDENT'S HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY*, p 390
- 270 See Chs II:C:9:a; III:C:1 above
- 271 J. Dewey, *RECONSTRUCTION IN PHILOSOPHY*, p 87
- 272 S&S p 107
- 273 R.D. Carmichael, *THE LOGIC OF DISCOVERY*, qu in S&S p 368
- 274 See S.I. Hayakawa, op cit, p 203; cf S&S pp 387ff
- 275 E.S. Brightman, op cit, p 23
- 276 A.N. Whitehead, *SCIENCE AND THE MODERN WORLD*, qu in S&S p 369
- 277 G. Santayana, *SCEPTICISM AND ANIMAL FAITH*, qu in S&S p 1
- 278 S&S p 87
- 279 See J. Dewey, 'An Empirical Survey Of Empiricisms,' in *STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF IDEAS*, vol III pp 15f
- 280 F. Paulsen, op cit, p 16
- 281 G.T.W. Patrick, op cit, p 344
- 282 F. Paulsen, op cit, p 341
- 283 G.T.W. Patrick, op cit, p 344; (Subjective) Idealism in epistemology must not be confused with (objective) Idealism in ontology.
- 284 S&S p 379; see II:C:11
- 285 S&S p 389
- 286 A.N. Whitehead, *THE CONCEPT OF NATURE*, qu in S&S pp 386, 390
- 287 S&S pp 165f, 514
- 288 S&S pp 387ff